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What is Concordia?

- “Agreement, understanding, and marital harmony”...
- Public forum driving interop *among* identity protocols
  - Used together in practice
  - But not originally designed to fit together
- Practical focus on real-life issues
  - Gathering deployer input is an explicit goal
- No technology is off-limits
  - Discussed so far: PKI, SAML, WS-Fed, OpenID, InfoCard...
- Scenarios are explored, tested, and clarified in turn
  - If further spec work is needed, we will champion its standardization
Who's doing this and how?

- Participants include solution providers and deployers
  - Wiki, mailing list, and workshops – join us! It's easy
  - projectconcordia.org
  - lists.projectconcordia.org/mailman/listinfo/community
- Formal use-case contributors so far:
What about similar activities in other groups?

- Problem-solving is good wherever it occurs!
  - Standards venues, community groups, open-source projects, discussion lists, vendor-led initiatives...

- Other groups
  - OSIS (Open Source Identity System)

- Concordia's added value:
  - Pain points expressed by deployers, and
  - “inter-interop” problems amenable to protocol-layer solutions
Scenario development timeline

- **Aug 07**: discussed “use-case buckets”
- **Sep 07**: prioritized an initial issue list
- **Nov 07**: analyzed our A-priority issues
- **Dec 07**: selected two interop scenarios
- **Jan-Apr 08**: defined and tested them
- **Soon**: document findings
- **Lather, rinse, repeat**
# General issues, as initially prioritized

## A-priority:
- InfoCard + SAML
- SAML + WS-Federation
- IdP discovery
- WS-Fed/SAML metadata

## B-priority:
- Single logout
- Level of Assurance encodings
- InfoCard à ID-WSF bootstrap
- SASL+SAML

## Keep an eye on:
- Roaming network access
- Dynamic web SSO use cases
- Attribute schema mapping
- OpenID + SAML
RSA Interop Report

- Scenarios
- Details
- Participants
- Conclusions
Scenario 1: Authenticating to Federations with Information Cards

- SAML2 / WS-Fed
  - Relying party
  - Identity provider

- InfoCard
  - Relying party
  - Identity provider

Authentication context preserved

Browser

Identity selector

projectconcordia.org
Scenario 1: InfoCard + (SAML2 | WS-Federation)

- **What happens:** User logs in with an Information Card while taking part in a federated interaction
- **Challenge:** Persist the details of the RP's authn policy and the actual authn method used
  - Chaining environments remains necessary
  - Exploring protocol implications of carrying InfoCard authn info in various ways
- **What's new:**
  - SAML2 token support in WS-Federation implementations
- **Issues to solve:**
  - Generic claim structure vs. SAML's authn context structure
  - Well-known identity selector limitation on policy (claim types)
Authentication context class

URLs of convenience

http://projectconcordia.org/rsainterop/authnmech/personal
http://projectconcordia.org/rsainterop/authnmech/managed/password
http://projectconcordia.org/rsainterop/authnmech/managed/kerberos
http://projectconcordia.org/rsainterop/authnmech/managed/x509
http://projectconcordia.org/rsainterop/authnmech/managed/personal
1a: InfoCard + SAML2 flow

<saml:AuthnRequest>
  + RequestedAuthnContext=URI
</saml:AuthnRequest>

<wst:RequestSecurityToken>
</wst:RequestSecurityToken>

<wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponse>
  + Assertion:AuthnContext=URI
</wst:RequestSecurityTokenResponse>

<saml:Response>
  + Assertion:AuthnContext=URI
</saml:Response>
Scenario 2: Chaining SAML2 and WS-Federation

- **What happens:** User can SSO into a SAML2 federation and proceed to a WS-Fed one, or vice versa
- **Challenge:** IdPs and RPs each acting as protocol bridges
  - Chaining between environments remains necessary
  - A common deployment reality today
- **What's new:**
  - SAML2 token support in WS-Federation implementations
- **Issues to solve:**
  - Translation between SAML authentication context and WS-Fed `wauth` parameter
# Interop participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>SAML</th>
<th>WS-Fed</th>
<th>Chain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FuGen Solutions</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td></td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping Identity</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun Microsystems</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SymLabs</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ SSC</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Honorable mention: NZ SSC
RSA “Conclusions”

- Concordia is focusing on conventions, not inventions
  - only innovations were the InfoCard authentication descriptor strings and the agreement around the pairing of an expressed SP requirement and an IdP's description of what it did
  - need to formally document (“profile”) these conventions
- Concordia is focusing on scenarios involving protocols that are used together, but weren't originally designed for that purpose
Next Steps

- Identify the use-cases for the next interop
  - OpenID+SAML?
  - InfoCard+(L)ECP?
- Formally document the “profiles” used for this interop
- Seek additional industry participation
  - New use cases
  - Participation in interop events
Resources

- Wiki
  - http://www.projectconcordia.org/
- Teleconference Calls
  - Usually every other Tues. from 10-11am PT
- Use Case descriptions
  - http://projectconcordia.org/index.php/Main_Page#Current_Work
- RSA Interop 2008
Questions